

EUROPEAN COMPARATIVE DATA ON THE SITUATION OF DISABLED PERSONS

Wim van Oorschot European Data Center for Work and Welfare EDACwowe Tilburg University

ANED, Brussels, 15-12-2008

EDAC EMEMAN DATA CENTE TOR MORN AND WELFAN

TASK objective

...to identify the opportunities for national comparisons between European countries regarding quantitative data on the situation of disabled people, through an annotated review of existing relevant data sources...

2

EDAC C SURDER **SEARCH** for quantitative, EU comparative disability data Key words Situation of disabled people Accessibility Blind Deaf •Data websites (N=175) Education •EU comp. surveys (N=30) •Eurobarometers (1962-Work and Disab' employment Hamper* Handica* Health Income and social protection •Journals (N=12, 1995-2008) Illness Mobility •Organisations (N=50) Impair*
Incapacit*
Restricted/limited mobility Information and •ANED network members communication Political participation Sick* Sign language
Restriction (of activity)
Functional limitation Cultural participation (Reduced) mobility Braille Discrimination

| - 7 | - |
|---------|------------------------|
| EDAC 26 | EUROPIAN DATA CONTIR |
| wowe / | LOW MOME WHO METS WELL |

TWO MAIN TYPES of available data

Social surveys

(often basis for production of national and EU statistics)

- opinion surveys (EVS, ESS, Eurobarometer etc.)
- socio-economic surveys (ECHP, EU-SILC, LFS, etc)

Statistical data bases

4



FINDINGS - Social surveys

(fields of participation, disability identification questions, disability issue questions)

Fields

- Work, income, education: reasonably covered
- Political participation, discrimination: fragmented
- Mobility, communication, cultural participation: hardly any data

Disability identification

- No EU comparative surveys with disability definition questions that closely match the UN definition
- Fragmented use of types of identification questions
- MEHM module in ESS, LFS ad hoc, EU-SILC



FINDINGS - Social surveys continued

Disability issues

- Non-issue in non-Eurobarometer EU comp. surveys
- Fragmented issue in various Eurobarometers

European Social Survey stands out

 EU comparative, all countries, bi-annual since 2002, all fields (except mobility), MEHM module

(but no analyses yet)

6

| EDAC C SAMPLES GATE NOTING NOT | |
|--|--|
| FINDINGS – Statistical databases | |
| Socio-economic situation Reasonably covered in reports and online databases | |
| Problem: various mother sources (social surveys) with varying definitions of disability, varying country participation | |
| Discrimination • Reasonably covered by Eurostat data | |
| Other fields | |
| Hardly any data 7 | |
| | |
| | |
| EDAC C SEASONAN SERVICE CONTROL NO VICTORIO NO WILLIAM SERVICE AND | |
| GAPS in EU comparative data | |
| Fields of participation Only socio-economic participation reasonably covered | |
| Units of measurement | |
| Only individual level data, with lack of meso-level data regarding e.g. libraries, public buildings/transport, media, rehabilitation services, etc. (social model based 'quality of environment' indicators) | |
| Lack of (non-medical) samples of disabled persons | |
| Lack of adequate time series | |
| | |
| | |
| EDAC V Guarren Gers Garre Worker | |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| Systematically analyze and use data that <u>is</u> available | |

(e.g. ESS, EU-SILC)

Create EU-comparative data matrix on the basis of available data
Create European Data Center for Disability Data
(c.f. EU Gender Mainstreaming Data base, or EDACwowe)
Stimulate use of MEHM in all EU comparative

 Extend data production in other than socioeconomic fields

| EDAC C EMPIRA DATA CENTRE FOR WOME TO BE MORE AND WELFARE |
|---|
| |

MEHM: Minimum European Health Module

- How is your health in general?

 Very good / Good / Fair / Bad / Very bad
- 2. Do you have any long-standing illness or health problem?
 Yes / No
- 3. For at least the past 6 months, have you been limited in activities people usually do because of a health problem? Yes, strongly limited / Yes, limited / No, not limited

10